UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED
LUIGI GIROTTO,	DOC #:
Plaintiff,	: : 20-CV-2165 (VSB)
-against-	: ORDER
ST TROPEZ WINE BAR, LLC, et al.,	: <u>ORDER</u> :
Defendants.	: : :
	- X

VERNON S. BRODERICK, United States District Judge:

On March 10, 2020, Plaintiff filed this action against Defendants. (Doc. 1.) Plaintiff obtained summonses on March 11, 2020. (Docs. 5, 6.) To date, Plaintiffs has not filed an affidavit of service or taken any other action to prosecute this case. Accordingly, it is hereby:

ORDERED that, no later than June 22, 2020, Plaintiff shall submit a letter of no more than three (3) pages, supported by legal authority, demonstrating good cause as to why this case should not be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). "Good cause is generally found only in exceptional circumstances where the plaintiff's failure to serve process in a timely manner was the result of circumstances beyond its control." *E. Refractories Co. v. Forty Eight Insulations, Inc.*, 187 F.R.D. 503, 505 (S.D.N.Y. 1999) (internal quotation marks omitted). "District courts consider the diligence of plaintiff's efforts to effect proper service and any prejudice suffered by the defendant as a consequence of the delay." *Id.* (internal quotation marks omitted). "An attorney's inadvertence, neglect, mistake or misplaced reliance does not constitute good cause." *Howard v. Klynveld Peat Marwick Goerdeler*, 977 F. Supp. 654, 658 (S.D.N.Y. 1997) (citing *McGregor v. United States*, 933 F.2d 156, 160 (2d Cir. 1991), *aff'd*, 173 F.3d 844 (2d Cir. 1999)). Plaintiff is warned that failure to submit a letter and to demonstrate

Case 1:20-cv-02165-VSB Document 7 Filed 06/15/20 Page 2 of 2

good cause for failure to serve Defendants within ninety days after the complaint was filed will result in dismissal of this action.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 15, 2020

New York, New York

Vernon S. Broderick

United States District Judge